IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.2234 OF 2004
IN
APPEAL NO.98 OF 2003
IN
ARTIBRATION PETITION NO.208 OF 2003
IN
ARBITRATION CASE REF.NO.80 OF 2001

Surender Kumar Mahajan ....Appellant
V/s.
Tata Finance Ltd. & Ors. ....Respondents

Mr.Atul Damle i/b Mr.N.G. Bhat for the Appellant.
Mr.Y.R. Shah for the Respondents.

CORAM : DR.S. RADHAKRISHNAN & S.J. VAZIFDAR, JJ.
DATED : 19TH JULY, 2006.

P.C. :

1. Heard the learned counsel for the Appellant and the learned counsel for the Respondents.

2. By this Notice of Motion, the Appellant is seeking condonation of delay. Perused the affidavit in support of the Notice of Motion. For the reasons stated in the affidavit in support of the Notice of Motion, sufficient cause is made out. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bona-fide on the part of the Applicant. Hence, the Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(DR.S. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)

(S.J. VAZIFDAR, J.)